Relationship of Inter-Arm Systolic Blood Pressure Difference with Subclavian Artery Stenosis and Vertebral Artery Stenosis in Patients Undergoing Carotid Endarterectomy

Serkan Burç Deşer¹, MD; Semih Murat Yucel¹, MD; Mustafa Kemal Demirag¹, MD; Fersat Kolbakir¹, MD; Hasan Tahsin Keceligil¹, MD

Abstract

Introduction: The aim of this study was to examine the association of inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference (IASBPD) with carotid artery stenosis, subclavian artery stenosis and vertebral artery stenosis in patients who underwent carotid endarterectomy.

Methods: A total of 141 patients (29 females, 112 males; mean age 71.2±10.4 years; range 47 to 92 years) who underwent carotid endarterectomy between September 2010 and December 2017 were retrospectively evaluated. We classified patients into four groups according to the IASBPD 10 mmHg, \geq 10 mm Hg, \geq 20 mmHg and \geq 30 mmHg. The stenosis of both subclavian and vertebral arteries was considered as \geq 50%.

Results: Of the 141 patients, 44 (31.2%) had \geq 10 mmHg, 29 (20.5%) had \geq 20 mmHg and 4 (2.8%) had \geq 30 mmHg of IASBPD. 26 patients (18.4%) were diagnosed with significant subclavian

DOI: 10.21470/1678-9741-2018-0257

artery stenosis and 18 (69.2%) of them had more than 20 mmHg of IASBPD. Of the 29 patients with IASBPD \geq 20 mmHg, 19 patients (65.5%) had a significant subclavian artery stenosis. We found a significant correlation between preoperative symptoms and subclavian artery stenosis (*P*=0.018) and overall perioperative stroke was seen more frequently in patients with subclavian artery stenosis (*P*=0.041). A significant positive correlation was observed between vertebral artery stenosis and subclavian artery stenosis (*P*=0.01).

Conclusion: Patients who were diagnosed with both subclavian artery stenosis and IASBPD (≥ 20 mmHg) had a higher risk of postoperative stroke and death, had higher total cholesterol, LDL-C, blood creatinine level, and were more symptomatic.

Keywords: Subclavian Steal Syndrome. Carotid Stenosis. Blood Pressure Determination/Methods. Carotid Endarterectomy. Systole.

Abbrevia	tions, acronyms & symbols		
CEA	= carotid endarterectomy	ICU	= Intensive care unit
ESC	= European Society of Cardiology	IQR	= Interquartile range
ESH	= European Society of Hypertension	LDL-C	= Low density lipoprotein cholesterol
FT3	= Free T3	NASCET	= North American Symptomatic Carotid Surgery Tria
FT4	= Free T4	RR	= Relative risk
НВ	= Hemoglobin	SAS	= Subclavian artery stenosis
HDL-C	= High density lipoprotein cholesterol	SD	= Standard deviation
IASBPD	= Inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference	SPSS	= Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
ICA	= Internal carotid artery	TSH	= Thyroid-stimulating hormone

¹Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, School of Medicine, Ondokuz Mayis University, Samsun, Turkey.

Corresponding Author: Serkan Burc Deser

b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9490-928X Ondokuz Mayis Universitesi, Tıp Fakültesi, Kalp ve Damar Cerrahisi Ana Bilim Dalı,

Atakum, 55139, Samsun, Turkey E-mail: sbd983@yahoo.com

> Article received on August 27th, 2018. Article accepted on November 7th, 2018.

This study was carried out at the Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, School of Medicine, Ondokuz Mayis University, Samsun, Turkey.

INTRODUCTION

An increased inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference (IASBPD) is usually considered as \geq 10 mmHg, and the reported prevalence of the population ranges between 3,6% and 9.4%^[1-3]. IASBPD over 10 mmHg of is usually associated with subclavian artery stenosis, cerebrovascular disease, stroke, cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, atherosclerosis and left ventricular hypertrophy^[1-3]. Additionally, IASBPD over 15 mmHg constitutes a 1,6 fold risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality^[4-11]. Perioperative uncontrolled hypertension is observed in 13-23% of patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy (CEA) and associated with perioperative stroke and death^[12,13]. For this reason, being aware of IASBPD is essential for the appropriate treatment of perioperative hypertension, especially in patients who underwent carotid endarterectomy^[14]. The aim of this study was to examine the prevalence, association and outcomes of IASBPD, subclavian artery stenosis and vertebral artery stenosis in patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy.

METHODS

One hundred and forty-one patients (29 females, 112 males; mean age 71.2±10.4 years; range 47 to 92 years) who underwent carotid endarterectomy between September 2010 and December 2017 were retrospectively included in this historic prospective study. Treatment indication for symptomatic patients was \geq 50% stenosis, and for asymptomatic patients was \geq 70% stenosis of the internal carotid artery, according to the North American Symptomatic Carotid Surgery Trial (NASCET) criteria^[15]. All demographic and clinical datas of the patients were retrieved from the hospital database. Six patients with combined CEA with coronary artery bypass grafting surgery and five patients with incomplete data were excluded from this study. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were measured noninvasively at the time of admission and invasively monitored during the surgery and after the surgery in the intensive care unit. We divided patients into four groups according to the IASBPD as; <10 mmHq, \geq 10 mm Hq, \geq 20 mmHq and \geq 30 mmHq^[16]. Furthermore, patients were divided into another two groups with respect to significant stenosis of the subclavian artery (\geq 50%) and the vertebral artery (\geq 50%)^[17].

The internal carotid artery stenosis was initially diagnosed with color doppler ultrasonography and then the stenosis of carotid, subclavian and vertebral arteries were proven by computed tomography angiography, according to the NASCET criteria^[15]. Additionally, routine transthoracic echocardiographic was performed on all patients in order to decide preoperative coronary angiography according to left ventricle ejection fraction and motion disorder of left ventricle wall. Patients' initial symptoms and physical examination, risk factors, laboratory analysis, perioperative complications, length of intensive care unit/in-hospital stay were analyzed. Conventional longitudinal endarterectomy with dacron or saphenous patch closure or modified eversion endarterectomy techniques were performed to all patients. Perioperative major neurological complications were considered as hemiplegia, hemiparesis, transient ischemic attack, and cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome. All patients undergoing surgery were under single antiplatelet therapy (acetic salicylic acid 100 mg/day or clopidogrel 75 mg/day) and continued with a single or dual antiplatelet therapy throughout their life, and low molecular weight heparin was administered for 3 days after the surgery. Cranial computed tomography scan, diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging and carotid color doppler ultrasonography were performed in case of postoperative stroke.

The regional ethical committee approved the study (OMU KAEK 2017/353). The study was carried out in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration principles.

Statistical Analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Windows Version 21 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used to compare the datas. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to analyze normally distributed continuous variables. Categorical variables were presented in percentages and frequencies. Continuous variables were presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Independent sample t-tests were used to compare the means of dependent groups. The continuous variables were compared using the t-test and the Mann-Whitney U test. The categorical datas were tested with the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Of the 163 patients, perioperative blood pressure measurement data were available in 141 patients. The mean inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference was 11,23 mm Hg (range 0-40 mm Hg). 44 patients (31.2%) had an IASBPD \geq 10 mmHg, 29 patients (20.5%) had an IASBPD \geq 20 mmHg, and 4 (2.8%) patients had an IASBPD of \geq 30 mmHg, while IASBPD was detected lower than 10 mmHg in 97 patients (68.7%). Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of patients with IASBPD over 20 mmHg and under 20 mmHg. Male gender was not associated with over 20 mm Hg of IASBPD (*P*=0.89) and no correlation was detected between IASBPD and left or right internal carotid artery stenosis (*P*=0.63, *P*=0.78, respectively) and treated internal carotid artery site (*P*=0.24). However, we found a significant correlation between patients with IASBPD over 20 mmHg and ipsilateral subclavian artery stenosis (*P*=0.009).

Of the 141 patients, 26 patients (18.4%) were diagnosed with significant subclavian artery stenosis, while no significant stenosis was detected in 115 patients (81.6%). 5 patients (3.5%) had bilateral subclavian artery stenosis. Of the 29 patients with IASBPD ≥ 20 mmHg, 19 patients (65.5%) had a significant subclavian artery stenosis (*P*=0.001). Of the 26 patients with significant subclavian artery stenosis, 18 patients (69.2%) had more than 20 mmHg of IASBPD (*P*=0.001) and no IASBPD was detected in 5 patients (19.2%). 47 patients (29%) (15 patients with subclavian artery stenosis, 32 patients without subclavian artery stenosis) were symptomatic. A significant correlation was found between preoperative symptoms and subclavian artery stenosis (*P*=0.018), in other words, patients with subclavian artery stenosis were more symptomatic. The mean IASBPD in patients with subclavian artery stenosis was 20.5±5.3 mm Hg and the mean IASBPD in

	Patients with IASBPD≥20 mmHg (n=29)			Patients with IASBPD < 20 mmHg (n=112)			Р
	n	%	Mean±SD	n	%	Mean±SD	
Gender					~		
Male	23	79.3		90	80.3		0.89
Surgical Side							
Right	17	58.6		67	59.8		0.90
Higher systolic blood pressure side							
Right arm	15	51.7		63	56.2		0.66
Left arm	4	13.7		23	20.5		0.41
Symptomatic	5	17.2		23	20.5		0.69
Asymptomatic	24	82.8		89	79.5		0.69
Body mass index			26.7±3.7			26.9±4.3	0.81
Current smoking	5	17.2		24	21.4		0.61
Diabetes mellitus	19	65.5		69	61.6		0.69
Hypertension	17	58.6		60	53.5		0.62
Coronary artery disease	8	27.5		34	30.3		0.77
Peripheral artery disease	4	13.7		21	18.7		0.78
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	3	10.3		20	17.8		0.40
Lipid lowering therapy	9	31		30	26.7		0.64
Antithrombotic therapy	12	41.3		45	40.1		0.90

Table 1. Preoperative comparison of patients with Inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference (IASBPD) more than 20 mmHg and patients with IASBPD less than 20 mmHg who underwent carotid endarterectomy.

IASBPD=inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference; SD=standard deviation

patients without subclavian artery stenosis was 8.5 ± 3.1 mm Hg (P=0.01). Patients with subclavian artery stenosis had higher total cholesterol level (P=0.01), higher LDL-C level (P=0.015), higher blood creatinine level (P=0.017), higher free T3 level (P=0.022), higher postoperative-day 1 systolic blood pressure (P=0.023), were older (P=0.045), and ICU/overall in-hospital stay differences were longer (P=0.01 and P=0.01, respectively). Additionally, no systolic blood pressure difference was noted in terms of subclavian artery stenosis (149,8 mmHg vs. 146,4 mmHg, P=0.78). Table 2 compares patients with subclavian artery stenosis and without who undergoing carotid endarterectomy.

The median follow-up time was 4,6 years (IQR, 2.0-5.0, maximum 8 years). In 80 patients, (56.7%) right arm systolic blood pressure was higher than the left arm while, in 29 patients (20.6%) left arm systolic blood pressure was higher, and 32 patients (22.7%) had no systolic blood pressure difference between arms. 31 patients (21.9%) had vertebral artery stenosis (15 right, 10 left and 6 bilateral). Of the 26 patients with subclavian artery stenosis, 16 patients (61.5%) were accompanied with vertebral artery stenosis (P=0.01). However, no significant correlation was found between vertebral artery stenosis and, stroke and carotid artery stenosis (P=0.12 and P=0.23, respectively).

A perioperative stroke had occurred in 5 patients (3.5%). The most common reason of perioperative stroke was comprising thrombo-embolic event in 2 patients (1.4%), cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome in 2 patients (1.4%) and internal carotid artery occlusion in 1 patient (0.7%). 4 patients (2.8%) were diagnosed with both subclavian artery stenosis and IASBPD (\geq 20 mmHg) (*P*=0.04) and 1 patient (1.4%) neither had subclavian artery stenosis nor IASBPD. Post operative death occurred in 3 patients (2.1%) with both subclavian artery stenosis and IASBPD (*P*=0.0057, *P*=0.008, respectively), while no perioperative myocardial infarction occurred due to our strict cardiac examination.

DISCUSSION

This historic prospective study demonstrates that patients who were diagnosed with both subclavian artery stenosis and IASBPD (\geq 20 mmHg) had a higher risk of perioperative stroke and death, higher total cholesterol, LDL-C and blood creatinine level, and were more symptomatic. In addition, subclavian artery stenosis was associated with vertebral artery stenosis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the relationship

	Patients with SAS (n=26)			Patients without SAS (n=115)			Р
	n	%	Mean±SD	n	%	Mean±SD	
Age (year)			73.6±4.6			69.5±10.1	0.045*
Symptomatic	15	57.6		32	27.8		0.018*
HbA1c (NGSP)			6.5±1.7			6.4±1.4	0.75
Hb (g/dL)			14.7±1.7			14.9±1.5	0.55
Htc (%)			43.8±4.6			44.6±4.1	0.38
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)			226.4±16.94			205.3±20.37	0.01*
Triglycerides (mg/dL)			152.2±75.2			160.1±86.3	0.66
HDL-C (mg/dL)			41.6±11.9			40.3±11.2	0.59
LDL-C (mg/dL)			164.56±32.4			153.4±17.54	0.015*
Blood creatinine (mg/dL)			1.68±1.34			1.23±0.72	0.017*
fT3 (pg/mL)			3.13±1.11			2.7±0.79	0.022*
fT4 (ng/dL)			1.33±0.26			1.4±0.45	0.44
TSH (mIU/mL)			1.7±1.4			1.84±3.2	0.82
ICU stay (days)			11.2±7.5			1.2±0.45	0.01*
Overall in-hospital stay (days)			28.2±18.3			3.1±0.71	0.01*
Perioperative stroke							
Thrombo-embolic	2			-			0.032*
Cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome	2			-			0.032*
ICA occlusion	-			1			1
Overall	4			1			0.041*

Table 2. Comparison of patients with subclavian arte	ry stenosis (SAS) and without SAS who underwent carotid endarterectomy.
--	---

*Significant

fT3=free T3; fT4=free T4; Hb=hemoglobin; HDL-C=high density lipoprotein cholesterol; Htc=hematocrit; ICA=internal carotid artery; ICU=intensive care unit; LDL-C=low density lipoprotein cholesterol; SAS=Subclavian artery stenosis; SD=standard deviation; TSH=thyroid-stimulating hormone

between IASBPD and carotid artery stenosis, subclavian artery stenosis and vertebral artery stenosis in terms of perioperative outcomes in patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy.

Perioperative blood pressure disturbances are seen in 13-23% of patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy^[13,18]. Thus, perioperative blood pressure measurement of both arms is essential to avoid mistaken blood pressure measurement, which may lead to perioperative stroke due to cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome in patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy^[12,13,17,19,20]. The relation of subclavian artery stenosis and more than 20 mmHg of IASBPD is already well established with a recent meta-analysis conducted by Cao et al.^[2], which supported this strong association (RR 8.8, 95% CI 3.6-21.2, P<0.01) and our findings were consistent with this previous study (P=0.009). English et al.^[20] reported that the sensitivity and the specificity to detect the subclavian artery stenosis for more than 10 mmHg of IASBPD was 65% and 85% while, 20 mmHg of difference was 35% and 94%, respectively. Worthy of note,

most of the previous studies considered a threshold value of 20 mmHg^[17,19] thus we considered 20 mmHg of IASBPD as a threshhold value.

Huibers et al.^[17] published a 182-patient, multicenter, randomized control trial where 20% of the patients had more than 15 mmHg of IASBPD. Of those patients, 20% of them were accompanying with subclavian or innominate artery stenosis, in patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy. In addition, Huibers et al.^[17] stated that 47.6% of patients with subclavian artery stenosis had more than 10 mmHg of IASBPD while, 23.3% of patients with more than 20 mmHg of IASBPD had a significant subclavian artery stenosis. Our findings were higher than the previous study, where 69.2% of patients with subclavian artery stenosis had more than 20 mmHg of IASBPD had a significant subclavian artery stenosis. The incidence of both subclavian artery stenosis and more than 20 mmHg of IASBPD was 94.7%^[18,19]. We concluded that these relatively high ratios depend on the

routine measurement of both arm systolic blood pressure in our cardiovascular surgery clinic. In addition, computed tomography angiography was routinely performed to all patients diagnosed with severe stenosis by color doppler ultrasonography, and both subclavian and vertebral arteries were examined simultaneously.

Kranenburg et al.^[19] reported a strong relation with IASBPD and the carotid artery stenosis in patients with peripheral vascular diseases, whereas we did not note any correlation (P=0.78). Besides that, no superiority was found for each arm systolic blood pressure in regard to outcomes^[19]. In this context, our study demonstrated a similar manner with previous studies^[17,19], that no superiority of left and right arm systolic blood pressure was detected (P=0.672).

Huibers et al.^[17] reported that the prevalence of patients with bilateral subclavian artery stenosis was 1%. However, 3.5% of patients (5 patients) had bilateral subclavian artery stenosis in our study. Of note, systolic blood pressure difference can not be detected between both arms in case of bilateral subclavian artery stenosis. Although, we did not find any relations between subclavian artery stenosis and carotid artery stenosis, it is interesting to note that, in the present study, a significant correlation was found between preoperative symptoms and subclavian artery stenosis (P=0.018). In other words, the majority of symptomatic patients were diagnosed with subclavian artery stenosis at the same time. In addition, total cholesterol, LDL, blood creatinine level, fT3 level, postoperative day 1. systolic blood pressure was higher and ICU/overall in-hospital stay was longer in patients with subclavian artery stenosis, and patients were older. We thought that atherosclerosis was more advanced in those patients and significant disease was also present in all systemic arteries except carotid arteries. This mechanism might also explain why we found a higher ratio of subclavian artery stenosis in our study, contrary to Huibers et al.^[17]. Therefore, in those patients, blood pressure should be measured in both arms and further examination should be performed in terms of subclavian artery stenosis.

In patients with carotid artery stenosis, aortic arches and branches are routinely examined, so an additional imaging modality is not required in the diagnosis of subclavian artery stenosis and vertebral artery stenosis without excessive radiation exposure to patients. In this study, the proportion of patients with IASBPD greater than 10 mmHg was found to be relatively low (31.2%) among all patients. When we increased the threshold level from 10 mm Hg to 20 mm Hg, this rate decreases to 20.5% of patients.

We found a significant correlation between subclavian artery stenosis and vertebral artery stenosis, thus we re-evaluated patients in terms of preoperative vertebra-basilary system symptoms such as dizziness. However, no difference was found as expected in symptomatic patients. In addition, no subclavian artery occlusion, nor subclavian steal phenomenon were noted in any patient, although the rate of significant subclavian artery stenosis was found higher rather than previous studies^[21].

The relation between subclavian artery stenosis and atherosclerosis is obvious. In this current study, the perioperative stroke was seen more frequently in patients with subclavian artery stenosis (P=0.041). This mechanism might also explain by cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome due to mistaken systolic

blood pressure measurement or atherosclerosis level may be more advanced in those group of patients with a worse vascular structure (P=0.032). In addition, Huibers et al.^[21] reported that IASBPD was existing in all patients who had a perioperative stroke due to cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome.

There are some limitations of our study. First, this study had a retrospective design. Second, the number of patients in our study may seem limited compared with other studies. Third, larger studies would be required to replicate our findings. Fourth, the power of some outcomes may have been reduced due to this single-center study. Fifth, IASBPD may change in subsequent years due to a relatively short follow-up period of 4.6 years. Sixth, as mentioned above, we thought that patients with subclavian artery stenosis had more severe atherosclerosis level; however, we did note any correlation with peripheral arterial disease, this issue should be focused on further prospective studies. Seventh, we did not compare patients with 20-30 mmHg of IASBPD and patients with more than 30 mmHg of IASBPD, owing to a low volume of patients who had more than 30 mmHg of IASBPD. Strengths of this study include the measuring both arm blood pressures in all patients during admission and computed tomography angiography was performed to all patients. However, our results might need further validation in community-based cohorts.

CONCLUSION

Our findings in this single-center study suggest that measuring both arm blood pressure is a valuable clinical parameter during the in-hospital stay and careful attention should be paid to perioperative blood pressure, which may reduce the risk of procedural complications. A difference in IASBPD of \geq 20 mmHg had a significant correlation with both subclavian artery stenosis, and subclavian artery stenosis was associated with perioperative stroke, preoperative symptoms and vertebral artery stenosis. However, we could not conclude any correlation with carotid artery stenosis, and subclavian artery stenosis and IASBPD. Those groups of patients with more than 20 mmHg of IASBPD should definitely be investigated for subclavian artery stenosis.

No financial support.

No conflict of interest.

Authors' roles & responsibilities

SBD	Design, analysis, writing; final approval of the version to be published
SMY	Design, writing; final approval of the version to be published
MKD	Design; final approval of the version to be published
FK	Analysis; final approval of the version to be published
HTK	Analysis; final approval of the version to be published

REFERENCES

- Clark CE, Taylor RS, Shore AC, Ukoumunne OC, Campbell JL. Association of a difference in systolic blood pressure between arms with vascular disease and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2012 Mar 10;379(9819):905-914. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61710-8. Erratum in: Lancet. 2012 Jul 21;380(9838):218.
- Cao K, Xu J, Shangguan Q, Hu W, Li P, Cheng X, et al. Association of an inter-arm systolic blood pressure difference with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: An updated meta-analysis of cohort studies. Int J Cardiol. 2015;189:211-9. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.04.079.
- Su HM, Lin TH, Hsu PC, Chu CY, Lee WH, Chen SC, Lee CS, Voon WC, Lai WT, Sheu SH. Association of interarm systolic blood pressure difference with atherosclerosis and left ventricular hypertrophy. PLoS One. 2012;7(8):e41173. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041173.
- Aboyans V, Criqui MH, McDermott MM, Allison MA, Denenberg JO, Shadman R, Fronek A. The vital prognosis of subclavian stenosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007 Apr 10;49(14):1540-5.
- Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, Redon J, Zanchetti A, Böhm M, et al. 2013 ESH/ESC Practice Guidelines for the Management of Arterial Hypertension. Blood Press. 2014 Feb;23(1):3-16. doi:10.3109/080370 51.2014.868629.
- Singh S, Sethi A, Singh M, Khosla K, Grewal N, Khosla S. Simultaneously measured inter-arm and inter-leg systolic blood pressure differences and cardiovascular risk stratification: a systemic review and metaanalysis. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2015 Aug;9(8):640-650.e12. doi:10.1016/j. jash.2015.05.013.
- Clark CE, Taylor RS, Shore AC, Campbell JL. The difference in blood pressure readings between arms and survival: primary care cohort study. BMJ. 2012 Mar 20;344:e1327. doi:10.1136/bmj.e1327.
- Mehlsen J, Wiinberg N. Interarm difference in blood pressure: reproducibility and association with peripheral vascular disease. Int J Vasc Med. 2014;2014:841542. doi:10.1155/2014/841542.
- Mancia G, De Backer G, Dominiczak A, Cifkova R, Fagard R, Germano G, et al. 2007 Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2007 Jun;28(12):1462-536.
- Gaynor E, Brewer L, Mellon L, Hall P, Horgan F, Shelley E, et al. Interarm blood pressure difference in a post-stroke population. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2017 Sep;11(9):565-572.e5. doi:10.1016/j.jash.2017.06.008.
- 11. Clark CE, Steele AM, Taylor RS, Shore AC, Ukoumunne OC, Campbell JL. Interarm blood pressure difference in people with diabetes: measurement and vascular and mortality implications: a cohort study.

Diabetes Care. 2014 Jun;37(6):1613-20. doi: 10.2337/dc13-1576.

- Altinbas A, Algra A, Brown MM, Featherstone RL, Kappelle LJ, de Borst GJ, et al. Effects of carotid endarterectomy or stenting on hemodynamic complications in the International Carotid Stenting Study: a randomized comparison. Int J Stroke. 2014 Apr;9(3):284-90. doi:10.1111/ijs.12089.
- 13. Tan TW, Eslami MH, Kalish JA, Eberhardt RT, Doros G, Goodney PP, et al. The need for treatment of hemodynamic instability following carotid endarterectomy is associated with increased perioperative and 1-year morbidity and mortality. J Vasc Surg. 2014 Jan;59(1):16-24. e1-2. doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2013.07.025.
- 14. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators, Barnett HJM, Taylor DW, Haynes RB, Sackett DL, Peerless SJ, et al. Beneficial effect of carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. N Engl J Med. 1991 Aug 15;325(7):445-53.
- Moran AE, Odden MC, Thanataveerat A, Tzong KY, Rasmussen PW, Guzman D, et al. Cost-effectiveness of hypertension therapy according to 2014 guidelines. N Engl J Med. 2015 Jan 29;372(5):447-55. doi:10.1056/ NEJMsa1406751. Erratum in: N Engl J Med. 2015 Apr 23;372(17):1677.
- Moran AE, Odden MC, Thanataveerat A, Tzong KY, Rasmussen PW, Guzman D, et al. Cost-effectiveness of hyper-tension therapy according to 2014 guidelines. N Engl J Med 2015;372:447-55.
- 17. Huibers A, Hendrikse J, Brown MM, Pegge SA, Arnold M, Moll FL, et al. Upper Extremity Blood Pressure Difference in Patients Undergoing Carotid Revascularisation. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2017 Feb;53(2):153-157. doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2016.11.023.
- Park BD, Divinagracia T, Madej O, McPhelimy C, Piccirillo B, Dahn MS, et al. Predictors of clinically significant postprocedural hypotension after carotid endarterectomy and carotid angioplasty with stenting. J Vasc Surg. 2009 Sep;50(3):526-33. doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2009.05.005.
- 19. Kranenburg G, Spiering W, de Jong PA, Kappelle LJ, de Borst GJ, Cramer MJ, et al. Inter-arm systolic blood pressure differences, relations with future vascular events and mortality in patients with and without manifest vascular disease. Int J Cardiol. 2017 Oct 1;244:271-276. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.06.044.
- 20. English JA, Carell ES, Guidera SA, Tripp HF. Angiographic prevalence and clinical predictors of left subclavian stenosis in patients undergoing diagnostic cardiac catheterization. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2001 Sep;54(1):8-11.
- 21. Huibers A, Calvet D, Kennedy F, Czuriga-Kovács KR, Featherstone RL, Moll FL, et al. Mechanism of Procedural Stroke Following Carotid Endarterectomy or Carotid Artery Stenting Within the International Carotid Stenting Study (ICSS) Randomised Trial. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2015 Sep;50(3):281-8. doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2015.05.017.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.